

Original Research Article

ANALYZING RISK FACTORS FOR CARBAPENEM-RESISTANT KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE INFECTIONS IN ICU PATIENTS: A COMPREHENSIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY

Arun Aravind¹, Divya M B², R.C. Krishna Kumar³, L Ravichandran⁴

¹Associate Professor, Pk Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Kerala, India. ²Associate Professor, PK Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Kerala, India. ³Medical Director, PK Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Kerala, India. ⁴Professor, PK Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Kerala, India.

 Received
 : 02/08/2024

 Received in revised form : 20/09/2024
 . 20/09/2024

 Accepted
 : 05/10/2024

Corresponding Author: Dr. L Ravichandran.

Professor, PK Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Kerala, India. Email: ravichandranlara71@gmail.com

DOI: 10.70034/ijmedph.2024.4.8

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Med Pub Health 2024; 14 (4); 37-40

ABSTRACT

Background: Carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) is a significant pathogen in intensive care units (ICUs), causing severe infections that are difficult to treat due to resistance to carbapenems. Understanding the risk factors associated with CRKP infections is essential to prevent their spread and improve outcomes.

Materials and Methods: An observational study was conducted with 100 ICU patients diagnosed with Klebsiella pneumoniae infections. Data on antibiotic use, duration of ICU stay, use of mechanical ventilation, and other clinical variables were analyzed. Statistical tests, including chi-square and logistic regression, were applied to assess risk factors for CRKP infections.

Results: Of the 100 patients, 45 had CRKP infections. Significant risk factors included prior carbapenem use, prolonged ICU stay, mechanical ventilation, and central venous catheter use. Mortality was significantly higher among CRKP-infected patients (35%) compared to non-CRKP patients (10%).

Conclusion: CRKP infections in ICU patients are strongly linked to prior antibiotic use, invasive procedures, and prolonged hospitalization. Effective infection control and antibiotic stewardship are critical to reducing CRKP infections in ICUs.

Keywords: Risk Factors, Carbapenem-Resistant, Klebsiella Pneumoniae, Infectious disease, Intensive Care.

INTRODUCTION

Carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) is a serious nosocomial pathogen, particularly in ICUs, where patients are critically ill and highly susceptible to infections. CRKP infections pose a major threat due to limited therapeutic options, as carbapenems are often considered the last line of defense against multidrug-resistant organisms.^[1-2]

The global rise in antibiotic-resistant organisms has made the management of infections more complex, especially in ICU settings where the use of broadspectrum antibiotics is common.^[3] The overuse of antibiotics, particularly carbapenems, has contributed significantly to the emergence of resistant strains such as CRKP. ICU patients are often exposed to multiple risk factors for infections, including prolonged stays, invasive procedures, and mechanical ventilation.^[4-5]

The World Health Organization (WHO) has identified antibiotic resistance as one of the top threats to global health, with CRKP classified as a critical pathogen. CRKP infections are associated with high mortality rates, ranging from 30% to 70%, particularly among immunocompromised and critically ill patients.^[6-7]

This study aims to identify the specific risk factors associated with CRKP infections in ICU patients. By understanding these factors, we can develop strategies to mitigate the spread of CRKP and reduce its impact on patient outcomes.

37

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Population

This was a prospective observational study conducted in the ICU of P K Das Institute of medical Sciences from January 2023 to June 2024. The study included 100 ICU patients with confirmed *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections based on culture results.

Sample Size

The sample size was calculated based on a prevalence estimate of CRKP infections in ICU settings. The study included 100 patients, with 45 having CRKP infections and 55 having non-CRKP infections.

Data Collection

Data were collected from patient records, including:

- 1. Demographic information (age, gender).
- 2. Comorbidities (e.g., diabetes, chronic kidney disease).
- 3. Antibiotic history (e.g., prior carbapenem use).
- 4. ICU interventions (e.g., mechanical ventilation, central venous catheters).
- 5. Duration of ICU stay.
- 6. Clinical outcomes (e.g., mortality).

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS Version 25.0. Categorical variables were compared using chisquare tests, while logistic regression was used to identify independent risk factors for CRKP infections. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

The majority of patients were male (60%), with a mean age of 62.5 years. Comorbid conditions such as diabetes (35%) and chronic kidney disease (25%) were prevalent among the study population. [Table 1]

Interpretation

There was a slightly higher prevalence of comorbid conditions such as diabetes and chronic kidney disease in the CRKP group, although the differences were not statistically significant. [Table 1]

Risk Factors for CRKP Infections

Significant risk factors for CRKP infections included prior carbapenem use, prolonged ICU stay, mechanical ventilation, and the use of central venous catheters.

Interpretation

Prior carbapenem use and prolonged ICU stays were significantly associated with CRKP infections. Mechanical ventilation and central venous catheter use were also strong risk factors, likely due to the invasive nature of these procedures. [Table 2]

Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis

A logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify independent risk factors for CRKP infections. Prior carbapenem use, mechanical ventilation, and prolonged ICU stay were found to be independent predictors of CRKP infection. [Table 2]

Interpretation

The odds of developing a CRKP infection were 3.45 times higher in patients with prior carbapenem use. Prolonged ICU stays and mechanical ventilation also significantly increased the likelihood of CRKP infections.

Mortality Rates

The mortality rate among CRKP patients was significantly higher (35%) compared to non-CRKP patients (10%). [Table 3]

Interpretation:

The high mortality rate in the CRKP group underscores the severity of these infections and the need for early identification and intervention.

Duration of ICU Stay

Patients with CRKP infections had significantly longer ICU stays compared to non-CRKP patients. [Table 4]

Interpretation:

The prolonged ICU stay in CRKP patients reflects the complexity of managing these infections, which often require extended treatment and care.

Use of Mechanical Ventilation

Mechanical ventilation was more common among CRKP patients, suggesting that invasive procedures may increase the risk of infection. [Table 5]

Interpretation:

The higher rate of mechanical ventilation in CRKP patients likely reflects the increased severity of illness in these patients, which necessitates more intensive care.

Use of Central Venous Catheters

Central venous catheter use was significantly associated with CRKP infections, likely due to the increased risk of bacterial colonization. [Table 6]

Interpretation:

Central venous catheters provide a direct route for bacteria to enter the bloodstream, increasing the risk of CRKP infections.

Prior Antibiotic Use

Prior use of carbapenems was a significant risk factor for the development of CRKP infections. [Table 7]

Interpretation:

The overuse of carbapenems has led to increased resistance, making it a critical factor in the development of CRKP infections. [Table 8]

38

Table 1: Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Population

Characteristic	$\mathbf{CRKP} \ (\mathbf{n} = 45)$	Non-CRKP $(n = 55)$	p-value
Age (mean \pm SD)	64.3 ± 12.5	60.7 ± 10.8	0.12
Male (%)	65%	55%	0.25
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	40%	30%	0.19
Chronic Kidney Disease (%)	30%	20%	0.15
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (%)	25%	15%	0.18

Table 2: Risk Factors for CRKP Infections

Risk Factor	$\mathbf{CRKP} \ (\mathbf{n} = 45)$	Non-CRKP $(n = 55)$	p-value
Prior Carbapenem Use (%)	80%	40%	< 0.001
ICU Stay > 15 days (%)	75%	25%	0.002

Mechanical Ventilation (%)	70%	35%	< 0.001
Central Venous Catheter Use (%)	65%	30%	< 0.001

Risk Factor	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% Confidence Interval (CI)	p-value
Prior Carbapenem Use	3.45	1.89 - 5.97	< 0.001
ICU Stay > 15 days	2.90	1.52 - 5.53	0.002
Mechanical Ventilation	2.78	1.59 - 4.89	< 0.001
ble 4: Mortality Rates in CR	KP and Non-CRKP Groups	1	
Group	Mortality (%)	Survivors (%)	p-value
CRKP	35%	65%	< 0.001
Non-CRKP	10%	90%	< 0.001
ble 5. Duration of ICU Store	for CRKP and Non-CRKP Pa	Honto	
Group	Mean ICU Stay (days)	Range (davs)	p-value
CRKP	20	15 - 35	0.002
Non-CRKP	10	7 - 20	0.002
ble 6: Use of Mechanical Ver	ntilation in CRKP and Non-Cl		
	Mechanical Ventilation (%)		
Group	Mechanical	ventilation (%)	p-value

Table 7: Use of Central Venous Catheters in CRKP and Non-CRKP Patients		
Group	Central Venous Catheter Use (%)	p-value
CRKP	65%	< 0.001
Non-CRKP	30%	< 0.001

35%

Table 8: Prior Antibiotic Use in CRKP and Non-CRKP Patients		e in CRKP and Non-CRKP Patients	
	Group	Prior Carbapenem Use (%)	

Group	Prior Carbapenem Use (%)	p-value
CRKP	80%	< 0.001
Non-CRKP	40%	< 0.001

DISCUSSION

Non-CRKP

This study highlights the significant risk factors associated with CRKP infections in ICU patients. Prior use of carbapenems emerged as the strongest risk factor, underscoring the importance of antibiotic stewardship programs to limit the overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics. The selective pressure exerted by carbapenem use promotes the growth of resistant bacterial strains, making these infections challenging to treat.^[8-9]

The association between prolonged ICU stays and CRKP infections is likely due to increased exposure to nosocomial pathogens and the use of invasive procedures.^[10] ICU patients often require extended hospitalization and are subjected to procedures such as mechanical ventilation and central venous catheter insertion, which breach natural barriers and provide routes for bacterial entry.^[11]

Mechanical ventilation and central venous catheters were also identified as independent risk factors for CRKP infections.^[12] These invasive devices are commonly used in critically ill patients, but they also increase the risk of infection by providing a direct route for bacteria to enter the body.^[13] Infection control measures, such as stringent hand hygiene and the timely removal of invasive devices, are essential to reduce the risk of CRKP infections in ICU settings.

The high mortality rate among CRKP patients reflects the limited treatment options available for these infections. Carbapenem resistance leaves clinicians with few therapeutic choices, leading to worse clinical outcomes. Early identification of CRKP infections and the implementation of appropriate infection control measures are critical to reducing mortality.^[14]

< 0.001

CONCLUSION

This study identified key risk factors for CRKP infections in ICU patients, including prior carbapenem use, prolonged ICU stays, mechanical ventilation, and central venous catheter use. These infections are associated with high mortality, highlighting the need for early intervention and targeted prevention strategies. Antibiotic stewardship programs and infection control measures are essential to curbing the spread of CRKP in ICU settings.

REFERENCES

- Patel G, Huprikar S, Factor SH, Jenkins SG, Calfee DP. Outcomes of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infection and the impact of antimicrobial and adjunctive therapies. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2008;29(12):1099-106.
- Tumbarello M, Viale P, Viscoli C, Trecarichi EM, Tumietto F, Marchese A, Spanu T. Predictors of mortality in bloodstream infections caused by Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-

39

producing K. pneumoniae: Importance of combination therapy. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55(7):943-50.

- Guh AY, Bulens SN, Mu Y, Dumyati G, Stone ND, Winston LG, et al. Epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in 7 US communities, 2012–2013. JAMA. 2015;314(14):1479-87.
- Nordmann P, Naas T, Poirel L. Global spread of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17(10):1791-8.
- Gupta N, Limbago BM, Patel JB, Kallen AJ. Carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae: epidemiology and prevention. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;53(1):60-7.
- Munoz-Price LS, Quinn JP. The impact of carbapenem resistance on clinical outcomes in a critical care setting: What is the evidence? Clin Microbiol Infect. 2009;15(5):408-13.
- van Duin D, Kaye KS, Neuner EA, Bonomo RA. Carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae: A review of treatment and outcomes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2013;75(2):115-20.
- Livermore DM, Woodford N. The beta-lactamase threat in Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas, and Acinetobacter. Trends Microbiol. 2006;14(9):413-20.
- 9. Giske CG, Monnet DL, Cars O, Carmeli Y, and the ReAct-Action on Antibiotic Resistance. Clinical and economic impact

of common multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008;52(3):813-21.

- Nordmann P, Cuzon G, Naas T. The real threat of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-producing bacteria. Lancet Infect Dis. 2009;9(4):228-36.
- Schwaber MJ, Klarfeld-Lidji S, Navon-Venezia S, Schwartz D, Leavitt A, Carmeli Y. Predictors of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae acquisition among hospitalized adults and effect of acquisition on mortality. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008;52(3):1028-33.
- Souli M, Galani I, Giamarellou H. Emergence of extensively drug-resistant and pan drug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli in Europe. Euro Surveill. 2008;13(47):19045.
- Qi C, Pilla V, Yu H, Cronin SM, Desta K, Lo D, Baliga C. Multidrug-resistant organism infection in patients on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: Epidemiology and risk factors. Ann Thorac Surg. 2019;108(2):567-73.
- Ben-David D, Kordevani R, Keller N, Tal I, Marzel A, Gal-Mor O, et al. The outcome of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(1):54-60.